



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/164,568	10/01/1998	RANDOLPH J. NOELLE	012712-572	6823

909 7590 10/22/2002
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP
P.O. BOX 10500
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1644

19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

103.20

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/164568 GARIBEL	NOVELTE 1644
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 		
Status		
1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>7/19/02, 6/18/02</u> 2a) <input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL. 2b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final. 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application. <u>54-63</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. <u>54-63</u> 7) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) <input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) <input type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) <input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b) <input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved b) <input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) <input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) <input type="checkbox"/> All b) <input type="checkbox"/> Some * c) <input type="checkbox"/> None of: 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) <input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____		4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____ 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. The request filed 7/19/02 (Paper No. 18) for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/164,568 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An Office Action on the CPA follows.

Applicant's amendment, filed 6/18/02 (Paper No. 17), has been entered.

Claims 54 has been amended.

Claims 57 has been canceled. Claims 1-53 have been canceled previously.

Claims 54-56 and 58-63, as they read on "autoantigen expressing cells" are being acted upon as the elected invention.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 54-56 and 58-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Lederman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,403,091) OR Armitage et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,264,951) OR Aruffo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,376,459) in view of Berschorner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,597,563), Cobbold et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,690,933) and Enyon et al. (J. Exp. Med. 175: 131-138, 1992).

Lederman et al. teach the treatment of various disease conditions (see entire document) including inhibiting the autoimmune response (column 11, paragraph 7 and Claims) with 5C8- (i.e. CD40L-specific) antibodies, including monoclonal, chimeric and humanized antibodies (columns 7-8 and Claims) (see entire document).

Armitage et al. teach the use of CD40 antagonists, including CD40/Fc to treat conditions associated with high levels of antigen-antibody complexes including SLE, rheumatoid arthritis and IDDM (see columns 10-11, overlapping paragraph) (see entire document).

Aruffo et al. teach the use of CD40CR antagonists such as CD40lg and CD40CR-specific antibodies such as MR1 (Section 5.1) to prevent or ameliorate a subject suffering from a disorder associated with B cell activation, including autoimmune conditions, such as SLE and rheumatoid arthritis (Section 5.4, particularly, column 16, paragraphs 1-2 and column 17, paragraph 1 and Section 5.5 and Claims) (see entire document).

The primary references do not explicitly teach the use of administering an autoantigen expressing cells and the types of antigen presenting cells encompassed by the claimed invention.

Enyon et al. teach that B cell presentation of antigen in the absence of appropriate help leads to antigen-specific T cell anergy in vivo (see entire document). Here, Enyon et al. also acknowledge the art-known role of B cells as APCs. It was also known that CD40 the ligand for gp39 (CD40 ligand) is present on other APCs such as dendritic cells, which are intimately involved in the induction of T cell immunity or tolerance. In addition, gp39 was known to be expressed mainly by activated T helper cells and a number of CD8⁺ cells as well. Therefore, it was known that one could use gp39 antagonists to block T cell-mediated activation and that the appropriate in vivo APCs such as B cells and dendritic cells, which express CD40, would be subject to such manipulation. It was well known in the art at time the invention was made that the provision of signal 1 (antigen) in the absence of signal 2 (help) would lead to some form of tolerance rather than immunity. Enyon et al. Also teach a role for small B cells as antigen-specific tolerizing antigen-presenting cells in acquired self-tolerance soluble self-proteins (see Abstract and last paragraph of Discussion).

Berschoner teach the use of antigen containing antigen-presenting cells for inducing tolerance to autoantigens or self antigens in the treatment of autoimmune diseases by administering the said antigen containing antigen presenting cells and an immunosuppressive (see entire document, including Detailed Description and Claims). Berschoner also teach that the antigen presenting cells include dendritic cells, Langerhans cells and mononuclear phagocytes (see column 6, paragraph 3), encompassed by the claimed methods.

Cobbold et al. teach that specific non-responsiveness can be induced to a self antigen or antigens in order to treat autoimmune diseases by administering immunosuppressive antibodies and antigen (see entire document, including column 3, paragraph 4). Cobbold et al. Also note that persistent antigen is required to maintain tolerance, which applies to self (auto) antigens in the treatment of autoimmune diseases (column 3, paragraph 5).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to select the combination of an autoantigen containing antigen presenting cells and a gp39-specific antagonist to induce antigen-specific non-responsiveness to autoantigens as a treatment for autoimmunity by providing persistent autoantigens under the cover of immunosuppressives, since both contribute to long term antigen non-responsiveness in the treatment of autoimmunity.

From the teachings of the references, it was apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

4. No claim is allowed.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gabel whose telephone number is (703) 308-3997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Phillip Gabel

Phillip Gabel, PhD.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

October 21, 2002